Early Childhood Development and Education in Namibia

Results of the 2012 Survey of Early Childhood Development Centres by the Ministry of Gender Equality & Child Welfare

Contents

Introduction	2
Enrolments	2
Orphans and disabled children	5
Facilities and infrastructure	6
Human resources	8
Assessment of learning environment	9
Assessment of facilities	11
Appendix A: Tables of results	13
Annendix B: Survey questionnaire	24

Prepared by

RAISON (Research & Information Services of Namibia)

October 2014

Introduction

In 2012 a nation-wide survey of Early Childhood Development (ECD) Centres was conducted by the Ministry of Gender Equality & Child Welfare (MGECW). This report presents a selection of key results and sets of information collected during the survey.

The survey was conducted in the months of July and August in 2012 by teams of enumerators employed by and supervised by the MGECW. A total of 2,070 centres were visited. Information on facilities, staffing and the children at the centres were collected using a questionnaire. Appendix A provides tables of results from the survey while the survey questionnaire is in Appendix B.

Many of the results, especially those concerned with enrolment rates or ratios, were obtained by comparing the number of children at the ECD centres to all children of the same ages that were counted during the 2011 Population & Housing Census. The survey of ECD centres was done in 2012 before the delimitation of new regions and constituencies in 2013. Kavango therefore includes ECD centres and children in the new regions of both Kavango East and Kavango West, while analyses of enrolments per constituency uses the political units as they were in 2012.

Regions with the greatest number of ECD centres were Omusati (382), Oshikoto (252), Oshana (246) and Ohangwena (235). Regions with the fewest centres were Omaheke (42), Hardap (46), Kunene (59) and Zambezi (62) (Figure 1).

Of the 2,070 centres, 83.7% were registered with the MGECW. The other 14.9% were not registered or no information was collected at 29 or 1.4% of the centres. Regions with the highest proportions of registered centres were Kunene, Oshana, Omusati and Oshikoto. The lowest proportions were in Khomas, Hardap and !Karas.




Figure 1. Numbers of ECDs per region that were registered or not with the MGECW.

Enrolments

A total of 61,218 children were enrolled in the ECD centres in 2012, of which 31,911 (52.1%) were girls and 29,307 (47.9%) were boys. Of all girls aged 0-9 years in the country, 12.1% were at ECD centres while 11.2% of all boys were enrolled at the centres. Taking boys and girls together, 11.7% of all children younger than 10 years were at the ECD centres.

In the country as a whole, the highest enrolment percentages were among 4-5 (24.6%) and 6-7 year-olds (18.7%) where the enrolment rates were several times higher than the other age groups

(Figure 2). Enrolment rates for girls were slightly higher than for boys in all the age groups. Similar differences in enrolment rates between ages were found in all the regions (Figure 3).




Figure 2. Rates of enrolment in ECD centres for children of different ages and genders.




Figure 3. Rates of enrolment in ECD centres for children of different ages in each region.

Rates of enrolment among boys and girls in each age group in all constituencies are given in Table 4 in Appendix A. As one measure of ECD attendance, Figure 4 depicts percentage enrolments for 4-5 year-olds. Considerable variation between constituencies is evident, ranging from over 50% in nine constituencies to less than 10% in 13 constituencies.




Figure 4. Enrolment rates of 4-5 year-olds per constituency. Those with enrolment rates of less than 10% are labelled on the map.

It is of interest to compare the total enrolment of 61,218 children recorded at ECDs by this survey with measures of early childhood education collected during the 2011 population census and in formal schools by the Ministry of Education in 2012.

2011 census, enrolments in educare for 0-4 years	22,507
2011 census, enrolments in pre-primary for 0-4 years	15,282
2011 census, enrolments in pre-primary for 5 years and above	38,102
2012 pre-primary enrolments at MEC schools, 5 years and above	17,572
2012 enrolments at surveyed ECD centres, age 0 and above	61,218

Orphans and disabled children

A total of 42,916 children younger than 10 were recorded as orphans in the 2011 population census, while 2,670 orphans were reported at ECD centres in 2012. These 2,670 children comprise 6.2% of all orphans, which is about half the enrolment ratio (12.1%) of all non-orphans, suggesting that relatively fewer orphans go to ECD centres.

The proportion or orphans at ECD centres was very similar in all regions, except Kavango where enrolment rates were about double those elsewhere (Figure 5). The highest numbers of orphans were in ECD centres in Kavango, Omusati and Ohangwena (Table 5).




Figure 5. Percentages of orphans aged less than 10 attending ECD centres.

A total of 2,124 children at ECD centres were recorded as being disabled in 2012. That total is 16.9% of all children aged less than 10 who were recorded as being disabled during the 2011 census. The percentage is significantly higher than the 11.5% enrolment ratio for children who were not regarded as disabled.

This difference suggests either that higher proportions of disabled than other children attend ECD centres, or the criteria for recording disabilities differed between the ECD survey and population census. For example, learning disabilities were probably reported more frequently by caregivers in ECD centres than by parents or guardians at home.

More than half (1,368 or 64%) of disabled children at ECD centres suffered from learning difficulties (Table 6). This was followed by hearing impairments (324 or 15.3%), physical handicaps (271 or 12.8%) and visual problems (161 children or 7.6%). There were many more disabled children in ECD centres in Omusati and Kavango than the other regions (Figure 6).




Figure 6. Numbers of children with different disabilities in ECD centres in 2012.

Facilities and infrastructure

Toilet facilities were deemed to be adequate if there were 25 or fewer children per toilet (Figure 7). In the country as a whole, there was adequate provision of toilets at one-third (34.3%) of ECD centres leaving two-thirds with an inadequate number of toilets.

The poorest provision of toilets was in Ohangwena, Omusati, Kavango and Zambezi. By contrast, over 60% of centres in Hardap, !Karas, Erongo had sufficient toilets.




Figure 7. Percentage of ECD centres with adequate provision of toilets as determined by the ratio of children to toilets. 'Adequate' is defined as having one toilet or more per 25 children.

In total, 1,346 (or 65.9%) of all ECD centres were reported as having clean water, but the provision of clean water differed from region to region (Figure 8). Many of the 698 centres that lacked clean water were in Omusati, Ohangwena Oshana and Oshikoto where the proportion of centres with clean water was lowest.

Of the 1,346 centres reporting clean water, the great majority (84.5%) obtained water from taps. Another 5.1% of centres were supplied with borehole water. Other sources were river water, wells, dams, containers and water tanks, but these sources together supplied only 23 centres. The source of water was not reported by 114 centres.




Figure 8. Percentages of ECD centres having supplies of clean water per region and for Namibia as a whole.

Of all the ECD centres, 61.8% reported that they had adequate space (Figure 9). The largest numbers of centres with inadequate space were in Omusati (132 centres), followed by Ohangwena (32), Kavango (28) and Khomas (27 centres) (Table 10).




Figure 9. Percentages of ECD centres reported to have adequate space.

The great majority (1,481 centres or 71.5%) of ECD centres were reported to be community owned, followed by private ownership (416 centres or 20.1%), faith-based organisations (134 centres or 6.5%) (Table 11). Fourteen centres were owned by non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and four others were government owned.

High proportions of centres were community owned in most regions, but Erongo, Khomas, !Karas, Oshana and Otjozondjupa had high percentages of privately owned centres (Figure 10).




Figure 10. Numbers of ECD centres owned by different organisations. "Other" comprises of NGOs and government centres.

Human resources

A total of 726 centres did not have any trained caregivers. That is over one-third (35.5%) of all ECD centres. Regions in which high proportions (Figure 11) of centres lacked trained caregivers were Kunene (54.2%), Zambezi (48.4%) and !Karas (47.0%).




Figure 11. Percentages of centres that did not have trained caregivers.

The highest numbers of ECD centres in which none of the caregivers were trained were in Omusati (108 centres), Oshikoto (102), Ohangwena (99), Oshana (86) and Kavango (75) (Table 12).

Of 2,083 caregivers for whom adequate information was available, 1,493 or 71.7% were eligible for further training at NAMCOL. The remaining 590 caregivers had insufficient training to enrol at NAMCOL because they had not completed Grade 12 or a higher qualification, or had not completed the 3 month MGECW course.

There was relatively little regional variation in the proportions of caregivers eligible for NAMCOL (Figure 12). The greatest numbers of caregivers that lacked adequate training to enrol at NAMCOM were in Omusati (85 caregivers), Oshikoto (84), Oshana (80), Ohangwena (73) and Kavango (67 caregivers) (Table 13).




Figure 12. Percentages of caregivers who were eligible or not eligible for NAMCOL training.

Assessment of learning environment

Enumerators evaluated 12 aspects of the learning environment at each ECD centre (see Question 16 of Appendix B). These included questions on the availability of learning materials, and on levels of engagement, discipline and communication, for example. Each of the 12 aspects was rated and given a numerical score, thus: 1 = poor, 2 = good, 3 = very good. An average score was then derived for each centre by dividing the total score by the number of aspects of the learning environment on which the centre had been scored (1, 2 or 3). All ECD centres were then ranked from highest to lowest value and divided into three groups or terciles. Only 1998 centres could be included in this analysis as the remainder had no answers to any of the 12 questions. Thus, the first tercile has the weakest 666 ECD centres, the second tercile those 666 centres that achieved more average composite scores, while the third tercile consists of the 666 centres that had the highest scores.

Zambezi, Omusati and Oshikoto each had the highest proportions of their ECD centres scoring in the lowest tercile (Figure 13). By contrast, Hardap, Omaheke and !Karas had the highest proportions of their ECD centres falling in the highest tercile.

Focussing on the 666 centres that fell in lowest third, most were in Omusati (192 centres), Oshikoto (120), Oshana (63), Kavango (59) and Ohangwena (58 centres) (Table 13).




Figure 13. Percentages of ECD centres in each region that fell in the poor, middle or highest tercile groups on the basis of the quality of their learning environments.




Figure 14. Percentages of ECD centres that scored in the low, middle or high tercile groups for the quality of their learning environment among centres that had management boards, were registered with the MGECW, were owned by different organisations or had at least one qualified caregiver.

Scores for the learning environments of the centres are compared to several of their features in Figure 14 and Table 15. The results indicate that many more ECD centres with high scoring learning environment had management boards, were registered with the MGECW and were owned by private of faith-based organisations. Learning environments of community-owned centres generally had low or average scores. There was little difference in scores between centres that had at least one qualified caregiver as opposed to those that had none.

Assessment of facilities

Thirteen aspects of each centre's physical facilities were evaluated to assess such conditions as safety, sanitation and equipment (see Question 17 of Appendix B). Enumerators were required to note yes (score = 1) or no (score =0) if a requirement was met or not, respectively.

An average score was derived for each centre by dividing the total score by the number of questions which had an answer (0 or 1) for that ECD. All centres were then ranked from highest to lowest value and divided into tercile groups: the first tercile with the weakest centres, the second tercile those having more average scores, and the third tercile those centres with the highest composite scores. A total of 2,027 centres were included since none of the 13 questions had been answered for the remaining centres.

Omusati, Zambezi and Oshana each had the highest proportions of their ECD centres scoring in the lowest tercile (Figure 15). By contrast, Khomas, !Karas and Hardap had the highest proportions of their centres falling in the highest tercile.

Focussing on the ECD centres that fell in lowest third, most of them were in Omusati (228 centres), Kavango (85), Oshana (83) and Ohangwena (81) (Table 14).




Figure 15. Percentages of ECD centres in each region that fell in the poor, middle or highest tercile groups on the basis of the quality of their physical facilities.

Comparisons of scores reflecting the quality of facilities indicate that many more ECDs with high scores had boards, were registered with the MGECW and were owned by private of faith-based organisations (Figure 16 and Table 17). Community-owned ECDs usually had low or average scores. The scores of centres that had one or more qualified staff were similar to those that did not have qualified caregivers.




Figure 16. Percentages of ECD centres that scored in the low, middle or high tercile groups for physical facilities among centres that have management boards, or that are registered with the MGECW, or that are owned by different organisations or have at least one qualified caregiver.

Appendix A. Tables of results from the 2012 survey of Early Childhood Development Centres.

Table 1: Total rates (%) of enrolment for all children per region and age group, and for all girls and boys in the country.

	0-2 years	2-3 years	4-5 years	6-7 years	>7 years
Erongo	6.1	21.2	40.9	29.4	3.1
Hardap	0.6	5.7	18.1	9.3	0.5
!Karas	4.2	18.1	46.6	18.6	1.2
Kavango	0.6	10.1	25.6	17.0	2.8
Khomas	5.1	16.5	28.4	27.6	5.4
Kunene	0.4	4.5	13.2	12.8	1.5
Ohangwena	0.8	5.2	16.5	16.3	1.4
Omaheke	0.2	3.8	16.5	13.9	5.5
Omusati	0.8	5.8	24.3	22.8	2.3
Oshana	2.1	12.6	36.4	21.9	1.4
Oshikoto	2.3	7.9	27.8	16.3	1.2
Otjozondjupa	1.3	10.9	25.6	20.4	3.2
Zambezi	4.7	14.5	17.2	4.8	1.1
All girls	2.3	9.5	25.6	19.5	2.7
All boys	1.8	9.2	23.6	18.0	2.1
All children	2.1	9.4	24.6	18.7	2.4

Table 2: Numbers and percentages of girls enrolled in ECD by age groups in each region

	0-2 ye	ars	2-3 y	ears	4-5 years		6-7 years		> 7 years		
Region	number	%	numbe	er %	number	%	numb	er %	number	%	Total
Erongo	234	6.4	641	21.6	1,186	41.2	746	29.9	85	3.4	2,892
Hardap	17	0.9	126	6.7	345	18.8	168	10.1	6	0.4	662
!Karas	86	4.6	310	18.1	807	48.4	288	21.3	20	1.4	1,511
Kavango	64	0.9	651	9.3	1,773	25.9	1,101	18.6	159	2.8	3,748
Khomas	483	5.4	1,091	17	1,878	30.3	1,570	28.3	362	6.4	5,384
Kunene	14	0.5	133	4.7	440	15.7	279	11.8	33	1.5	899
Ohangwena	88	1.1	439	5.9	1,360	18.3	1,059	16.5	90	1.3	3,036
Omaheke	4	0.2	84	4	314	14.6	244	14.7	95	5.8	741
Omusati	67	1	386	5.6	1,741	25.4	1,463	24.6	140	2.2	3,797
Oshana	115	2.5	535	12.4	1,515	36.6	812	22.1	75	2	3,052
Oshikoto	127	2.4	415	8.1	1,474	29.1	753	16.8	63	1.4	2,832
Otjozondjupa	64	1.6	458	11.6	1,006	25.8	656	20.4	127	4.2	2,311
Zambezi	136	4.9	372	14.6	400	17.1	118	5.3	20	0.9	1,046
TOTAL	1,499	2.3	5,641	9.5	14,239	25.6	9,257	19.5	1,275	2.7	31,911

Table 3: Numbers and percentages of **boys** enrolled in ECD by age groups in each region

	0-2 ye	ears	2-3 y	ears	4-5 years		6-7 years		> 7 ye		
Region	number	%	number	- %	number	%	numbe	er %	numbe	r %	Total
Erongo	221	5.8	612	20.8	1,133	40.6	723	28.9	65	2.7	2,754
Hardap	8	0.4	85	4.8	334	17.5	137	8.4	9	0.6	573
!Karas	75	3.9	286	18.2	745	44.7	231	16.0	15	1.1	1,352
Kavango	28	0.4	756	10.9	1,733	25.3	929	15.5	162	2.9	3,608
Khomas	417	4.8	1,047	16.0	1,639	26.6	1,473	26.9	228	4.4	4,804
Kunene	7	0.2	126	4.4	312	10.7	316	13.8	35	1.5	796
Ohangwena	42	0.5	337	4.5	1,071	14.7	1,030	16.1	103	1.6	2,583
Omaheke	6	0.3	79	3.6	404	18.3	220	13.0	87	5.2	796
Omusati	45	0.7	410	6.0	1,563	23.2	1,222	21.0	142	2.4	3,382
Oshana	81	1.8	520	12.8	1,426	36.2	738	21.7	25	0.7	2,790
Oshikoto	107	2.1	401	7.8	1,341	26.5	735	15.9	40	0.9	2,624
Otjozondjupa	47	1.1	422	10.3	1,008	25.5	684	20.4	67	2.2	2,228
Zambezi	125	4.5	359	14.4	411	17.4	96	4.3	26	1.2	1,017
TOTAL	1,084	1.8	5,081	9.2	12,709	23.6	8,438	18.0	978	2.1	28,290

Table 4: Numbers and percentages of children enrolled in ECD per constituency.

		0-2	years	2-3	years	4-5 ·	years	6-7 ·	years	> 7 y	ears
Region	Name	Num	ber %	Num	ber %	Num	ber %	Num	ber %	Numb	er %
Erongo	Arandis	51	11.3	75	21.1	195	57.5	85	23.5	1	0.3
	Dâures	7	1.2	39	5.4	109	16.7	2	0.4	0	0.0
	Karibib	39	5.2	133	19.6	279	44.9	116	23.7	24	4.5
	Omaruru	20	4.5	65	16.1	112	29.5	52	15.3	3	0.9
	Swakopmund	264	12.6	589	37.5	886	56.8	450	32.9	53	3.9
	Walvis Bay Rural	25	1.7	159	16.0	461	47.0	495	56.0	20	2.6
	Walvis Bay Urban	49	3.0	193	16.1	277	24.4	269	25.5	49	4.9
Hardap	Gibeon	2	0.4	24	4.1	89	13.5	40	7.2	1	0.2
	Mariental Rural	1	0.1	38	4.9	137	17.7	61	9.1	0	0.0
	Mariental Urban	6	0.7	55	8.1	145	20.0	132	21.0	0	0.0
	Rehoboth Rural	0	0.0	21	6.0	26	7.0	10	3.0	0	0.0
	Rehoboth Urban East	8	0.8	61	8.0	261	32.1	54	7.7	14	1.9
	Rehoboth Urban West	8	1.7	12	2.3	21	5.2	8	2.0	0	0.0
	Berseba	13	2.8	75	14.4	158	27.9	9	1.9	0	0.0
!Karas	Karasburg	69	8.1	78	10.5	214	30.1	64	11.3	0	0.0
	Keetmanshoop Rural	5	1.5	89	26.3	215	63.4	75	24.4	2	0.7
	Keetmanshoop Urban	14	1.4	62	7.8	294	36.7	94	13.8	0	0.0
	Lüderitz	23	3.5	164	32.4	423	75.7	174	35.4	11	2.1
	Oranjemund	37	7.4	128	34.0	248	69.3	103	37.9	22	7.5

Kavango	Kahenge	7	0.3	233	11.2	390	19.2	154	9.0	62	3.9
	Kapako	17	0.9	67	3.7	188	11.3	68	4.6	26	1.7
	Mashare	9	0.9	187	19.2	331	34.5	57	6.7	33	4.0
	Mpungu	0	0.0	108	8.0	198	14.4	82	6.9	13	1.2
	Mukwe	0	0.0	115	7.0	288	17.1	147	10.3	40	3.0
	Ndiyona	1	0.1	171	13.4	466	34.8	327	30.8	62	5.9
	Rundu Rural East	2	0.1	54	3.9	208	15.5	145	11.6	25	2.2
	Rundu Rural West	6	0.2	229	10.1	861	38.0	652	32.7	37	1.9
	Rundu Urban	50	3.9	243	21.8	576	56.2	398	40.9	23	2.8
Khomas	John Pandeni	21	2.9	42	7.6	77	14.4	32	6.0	0	0.0
	Katutura Central	62	5.1	145	15.0	259	26.8	199	20.0	40	3.8
	Katutura East	42	4.4	172	21.9	308	40.1	163	23.5	31	4.3
	Khomasdal North	141	6.5	270	15.9	569	34.9	579	37.7	99	6.5
	Moses Garoëb	87	2.9	263	14.3	361	21.4	409	31.4	118	9.7
	Samora Machel	182	6.1	373	19.4	490	27.2	443	26.6	100	6.4
	Tobias Hainyeko	130	4.4	327	17.3	583	30.8	548	34.0	64	4.5
	Windhoek East	139	20.6	226	35.4	264	42.4	205	38.4	97	17.0
	Windhoek Rural	2	0.2	16	1.6	183	18.8	15	1.9	1	0.1
	Windhoek West	94	4.8	304	18.9	423	28.4	450	32.6	40	2.7
Kunene	Epupa	1	0.1	49	3.1	116	7.4	44	3.5	3	0.3
	Kamanjab	1	0.2	17	3.6	86	18.7	98	30.0	27	7.7
	Khorixas	11	1.6	40	5.8	164	24.7	95	15.7	10	1.7
	Opuwo	1	0.1	51	2.8	131	7.1	141	9.5	0	0.0
	Outjo	5	0.7	63	10.0	163	27.0	145	26.7	27	5.4
	Sesfontein	2	0.4	39	7.3	92	16.2	72	16.5	1	0.2
Ohangwena	Eenhana	19	1.7	85	7.0	222	18.2	162	14.6	14	1.2
	Endola	19	1.3	77	5.2	195	13.4	185	14.9	6	0.4
	Engela	6	0.4	46	3.4	137	10.9	177	16.4	12	1.1
	Epembe	1	0.1	9	0.7	110	9.3	33	3.5	3	0.3
	Ohangwena	30	3.0	135	13.2	497	50.4	372	43.3	57	6.4
	Okongo	6	0.3	142	8.7	199	11.9	210	14.4	9	0.6
	Omulonga	6	0.3	28	1.3	122	5.9	84	4.5	3	0.2
	Omundaungilo	0	0.0	1	0.2	26	4.4	19	3.8	0	0.0
	Ondobe	8	0.5	93	6.1	288	20.2	188	14.5	22	1.6
	Ongenga	7	0.5	35	2.7	175	14.2	182	16.9	12	1.0
	Oshikango	28	1.4	125	7.8	460	28.2	477	33.5	55	3.8
Omaheke	Aminuis	0	0.0	27	3.4	47	6.3	18	2.9	0	0.0
	Epukiro	0	0.0	9	2.0	26	5.9	24	7.8	2	0.7
	Gobabis	0	0.0	52	5.5	461	44.4	324	35.4	140	15.5
	Kalahari	0	0.0	3	0.6	21	4.5	23	6.3	11	2.9
	Otjinene	4	0.8	23	4.1	58	10.6	38	10.5	16	4.8
	Otjombinde	3	0.7	14	2.9	13	2.5	18	5.1	1	0.3
	Steinhausen	3	0.6	35	6.0	92	15.6	19	4.5	12	2.6

Omusati	Anamulenge	1	0.1	43	5.9	180	23.2	246	35.2	11	1.7
	Elim	0	0.0	23	4.1	175	29.8	145	31.3	2	0.4
	Etayi	8	0.4	104	5.1	437	22.7	308	17.5	40	2.2
	Ogongo	18	1.7	59	5.4	174	16.3	156	16.9	51	5.3
	Okahao	4	0.4	80	9.9	310	33.3	222	27.9	35	4.3
	Okalongo	8	0.5	51	2.8	336	19.6	208	13.1	39	2.2
	Onesi	1	0.1	39	4.9	167	21.4	205	30.6	5	0.7
	Oshikuku	19	3.9	46	10.1	228	51.7	218	56.8	1	0.3
	Otamanzi	4	0.6	60	7.6	179	22.8	149	21.8	2	0.3
	Outapi	28	1.3	112	5.3	528	24.5	289	16.3	14	0.7
	Ruacana	5	0.6	97	10.3	273	32.0	260	35.5	61	8.3
	Tsandi	16	1.1	82	5.2	317	20.1	279	21.5	21	1.6
Oshana	Okaku	7	0.7	38	3.3	154	14.3	212	22.4	15	1.6
	Okatana	7	1.0	51	7.0	218	29.0	70	11.0	6	1.0
	Okatyali	5	2.6	16	8.4	32	16.5	14	9.3	1	0.6
	Ompundja	0	0.0	30	11.8	80	34.5	59	26.6	1	0.5
	Ondangwa	56	3.1	227	13.7	697	45.6	368	27.2	27	2.0
	Ongwediva	53	3.0	324	20.9	642	42.8	289	21.6	9	0.7
	Oshakati East	14	1.0	142	12.8	503	46.1	230	23.6	14	1.5
	Oshakati West	52	4.6	159	19.1	372	45.1	192	28.3	24	3.4
	Uukwiyu	1	0.1	55	8.1	155	22.5	73	12.2	1	0.2
	Uuvudhiya	1	0.5	13	6.0	88	47.3	43	22.1	2	1.1
Oshikoto	Eengodi	7	0.5	30	2.0	106	7.2	42	3.3	4	0.3
	Guinas	51	8.4	93	15.4	75	12.8	29	6.1	4	1.0
	Okankolo	14	1.2	52	4.6	212	18.4	102	10.4	9	1.0
	Olukonda	16	3.6	60	13.5	229	49.4	80	20.7	3	0.7
	Omuntele	18	1.9	59	6.0	269	27.3	224	25.9	11	1.2
	Omuthiyagwiipundi	77	5.1	182	11.6	572	37.2	379	28.6	55	4.0
	Onayena	0	0.0	45	5.9	258	33.4	73	8.8	2	0.3
	Oniipa	10	0.8	108	9.2	448	37.7	150	13.7	5	0.5
	Onyaanya	1	0.1	39	3.3	255	22.3	126	12.2	1	0.1
	Tsumeb	40	3.8	148	16.6	391	46.9	283	32.7	9	1.2
Otjozondjupa	Grootfontein	3	0.2	76	5.6	240	18.7	194	18.8	61	6.0
	Okahandja	67	5.1	247	20.2	610	51.3	378	36.5	85	9.3
	Okakarara	3	0.2	84	5.3	162	10.7	87	7.6	27	2.6
	Omatako	6	0.7	162	16.0	315	30.1	114	12.9	11	1.4
	Otavi	0	0.0	32	5.0	100	14.7	100	18.4	9	1.9
	Otjiwarongo	31	1.8	250	16.3	449	29.3	421	30.3	1	0.1
	Tsumkwe	1	0.1	29	4.2	138	22.2	46	8.5	0	0.0
Zambezi	Kabbe	6	0.7	19	2.1	39	4.5	6	0.7	0	0.0
	Katima Mulilo Rural	11	1.0	71	7.1	97	10.4	21	2.5	0	0.0
	Katima Mulilo Urban	148	8.2	333	23.3	350	26.4	138	10.8	19	1.7
	Kongola	11	4.2	46	14.6	52	16.7	15	5.2	24	8.5
	Linyanti	33	3.8	144	18.2	98	12.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Sibinda	52	9.0	118	20.1	175	33.8	34	6.6	3	0.6

Table 5: Numbers and percentages of **orphans** aged less than 10 attending ECD centres.

	Girls		Boys	
	Number	%	Number	%
Erongo	37	5.5	32	4.4
Hardap	33	5.8	27	4.7
!Karas	33	6.5	34	7.0
Kavango	358	12.2	333	11.3
Khomas	96	4.7	93	4.7
Kunene	41	5.2	46	5.5
Ohangwena	212	5.8	199	5.5
Omaheke	35	5.6	29	4.9
Omusati	182	5.3	149	4.4
Oshana	114	5.6	91	4.8
Oshikoto	109	4.9	115	5.1
Otjozondjupa	77	7.5	68	6.5
Zambezi	54	5.3	73	7.0
NAMIBIA	1,381	6.4	1,289	6.0

Table 6: Numbers and percentages of **disabled children** aged less than 10 attending ECD centres.

	Girls		Boys	
	Number	%	Number	%
Erongo	33	20.0	60	35.7
Hardap	10	7.2	13	8.7
!Karas	41	30.6	46	33.8
Kavango	248	30.7	213	22.5
Khomas	50	9.1	52	9.0
Kunene	44	20.0	36	12.4
Ohangwena	111	14	134	16.1
Omaheke	21	14.3	36	20.8
Omusati	231	26.8	252	26.1
Oshana	89	17	95	17.1
Oshikoto	57	6.2	79	8.2
Otjozondjupa	42	8.3	42	7.8
Zambezi	34	15	55	21.5
NAMIBIA	1,011	16.9	1,113	17.0

Table 7: Numbers of children with different kinds of disabilities attending ECD centres in each region.

	Hearing	Learning	Physical	Visual	Total
Erongo	3	61	16	13	93
Hardap	1	16	1	5	23
!Karas	5	63	7	12	87
Kavango	169	222	50	20	461
Khomas	10	61	13	18	102
Kunene	5	68	4	3	80
Ohangwena	45	152	34	14	245
Omaheke	4	34	12	7	57
Omusati	34	341	67	41	483
Oshana	21	133	24	6	184
Oshikoto	8	99	22	7	136
Otjozondjupa	12	56	12	4	84
Zambezi	7	62	9	11	89
NAMIBIA	324	1,368	271	161	2,124

Table 8: Numbers and percentages judged to have adequate toilet provision as a result of counts of toilets and children.

	Less than 25 children/ toilet	More than 25 children/ toilet	% adequate
Erongo	81	52	61.0
Erongo	01		61.0
Hardap	36	9	80.0
!Karas	51	32	61.0
Kavango	28	151	16.0
Khomas	110	94	54.0
Kunene	35	24	59.0
Ohangwena	15	219	6.0
Omaheke	19	23	45.0
Omusati	61	316	16.0
Oshana	77	167	32.0
Oshikoto	102	150	40.0
Otjozondjupa	70	60	54.0
Zambezi	16	46	26.0
Namibia	701	1,343	34.3

Table 9: Numbers and percentages of ECD centres having clean water per region.

	Total ECD centres	Total Yes	% clean
Erongo	133	131	98.5
Hardap	45	43	95.6
!Karas	83	76	91.6
Kavango	179	128	71.5
Khomas	204	202	99.0
Kunene	59	54	91.5
Ohangwena	234	92	39.3
Omaheke	42	38	90.5
Omusati	377	129	34.2
Oshana	244	131	53.7
Oshikoto	252	148	58.7
Otjozondjupa	130	128	98.5
Zambezi	62	46	74.2
NAMIBIA	2,044	1,346	65.9

Table 10: Numbers and percentages of ECD centres reported as having adequate space or not.

	Yes	No	No answer	Not applicable	Total	% YES
Erongo	105	17	9	3	134	78.4
!Karas	65	8	5	5	83	78.3
Kavango	98	28	24	32	182	53.8
Khomas	105	27	76	3	211	49.8
Kunene	43	8	4	4	59	72.9
Ohangwena	114	36	81	4	235	48.5
Omaheke	25	6	10	1	42	59.5
Omusati	196	132	25	29	382	51.3
Oshana	192	32	12	9	245	78.4
Oshikoto	187	18	40	7	252	74.2
Otjozondjupa	90	23	7	11	131	68.7
Zambezi	28	17	7	10	62	45.2
NAMIBIA	1,248	352	300	118	2,018	61.8

Table 11: Numbers of ECD owned by different organisations per region.

	Community	Private	Faith-based	NGO	Govern-	No	TOTAL
			organization		ment	answer	
Erongo	29	87	17	1			134
Hardap	18	16	10	1	1		46
!Karas	33	32	15	2	1		83
Kavango	141	22	16	2		1	182
Khomas	74	116	13	3	2	8	216
Kunene	37	5	17				59
Ohangwena	220	9	1			5	235
Omaheke	27	3	12				42
Omusati	360	20	3				383
Oshana	192	45	8			1	246
Oshikoto	234	10	6	1		1	252
Otjozondjupa	66	45	13	1		6	131
Zambezi	50	6	3	3			62
NAMIBIA no.	1,481	416	134	14	4	22	2,071
%	71.5%	20.1%	6.5%	0.7%	0.2%	1.1%	100%

Table 12: Numbers and percentages of ECD centres where none of the caregivers were trained.

	No Training	Total ECD centres	%
Erongo	37	133	27.8
Hardap	17	45	37.8
!Karas	39	83	47.0
Kavango	75	179	41.9
Khomas	43	204	21.1
Kunene	32	59	54.2
Ohangwena	99	234	42.3
Omaheke	15	42	35.7
Omusati	108	377	28.6
Oshana	86	244	35.2
Oshikoto	102	252	40.5
Otjozondjupa	43	130	33.1
Zambezi	30	62	48.4
NAMIBIA	726	2,044	35.5

Table 13: Numbers of caregivers who were eligible to enrol at NAMCOL.*

	Eligible	Not eligible	Total	% eligible
Erongo	107	37	144	74.3
Hardap	32	14	46	69.6
!Karas	54	27	81	66.7
Kavango	126	67	193	65.3
Khomas	178	38	216	82.4
Kunene	40	16	56	71.4
Ohangwena	159	73	232	68.5
Omaheke	30	11	41	73.2
Omusati	298	85	383	77.8
Oshana	164	80	244	67.2
Oshikoto	174	84	258	67.4
Otjozondjupa	84	46	130	64.6
Zambezi	47	12	59	79.7
NAMIBIA	1,493	590	2,083	71.7

^{*} To be eligible for NAMCOL a caregiver had to have completed Grade 12 or a higher qualification, or have completed the 3 month MGECW course?

Table 14: Numbers and percentages of ECD centres in each region that were rated as having the quality of their overall learning environment in the poorest third, middle or good third or the highest very good third of all ECD centres in the country.

		Poor	Good				
	Number	r %	Numbe	er %	Numbe	er %	Total
Erongo	25	18.8	36	27.1	72	54.1	133
Hardap	5	10.9	9	19.6	32	69.6	46
!Karas	12	14.6	24	29.3	46	56.1	82
Kavango	59	32.4	86	47.3	37	20.3	182
Khomas	47	22.5	62	29.7	100	47.8	209
Kunene	12	20.3	17	28.8	30	50.8	59
Ohangwena	58	31.5	76	41.3	50	27.2	184
Omaheke	6	14.3	8	19.0	28	66.7	42
Omusati	192	50.8	113	29.9	73	19.3	378
Oshana	63	25.8	104	42.6	77	31.6	244
Oshikoto	120	48.6	58	23.5	69	27.9	247
Otjozondjupa	35	26.9	55	42.3	40	30.8	130
Zambezi	32	51.6	18	29.0	12	19.4	62
NAMIBIA	666	33.3	666	33.3	666	33.3	1,998

Table 15: Numbers and percentages of ECDs which had their learning environment scored in the low, middle or high tercile groups compared to whether the ECD had a management board, was registered with the MGECW, its ownership, or whether it had some trained caregivers or not.

	Lov	v	Average	9	Highe	st	
Does the ECD have a Board?	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Total
No	588	36%	565	35%	484	30%	1,637
Yes	52	18%	82	28%	163	55%	297
Is the ECD registered with MGECW?							
No	557	35%	543	35%	470	30%	1,570
Yes	89	24%	108	29%	171	46%	368
Who owns the ECD?*							
Community	539	38%	498	35%	382	27%	1,419
Faith-based Organization	29	22%	37	28%	68	51%	134
Private	94	23%	118	29%	198	48%	410
Does the ECD have trained caregiver	s?						
No	274	40%	226	33%	182	27%	682
Yes	392	30%	440	33%	484	37%	1316

^{*} The numbers of government and NGO-owned ECD were too small to be included in these comparisons

Table 16: Numbers and percentages of ECD centres in each region that were rated as having the quality of their overall learning environment in the poorest third, middle or good third or the highest very good third of all ECD centres in the country.

		Poor		Good		Very good	
	Number	%	Numbei	r %	Numbe	er %	Total
Erongo	11	8.3	51	38.3	71	53.4	133
Hardap	7	15.2	13	28.3	26	56.5	46
!Karas	15	18.1	23	27.7	45	54.2	83
Kavango	85	46.7	66	36.3	31	17	182
Khomas	35	16.7	43	20.6	131	62.7	209
Kunene	18	30.5	15	25.4	26	44.1	59
Ohangwena	81	39.9	71	35	51	25.1	203
Omaheke	8	19	15	35.7	19	45.2	42
Omusati	228	59.7	104	27.2	50	13.1	382
Oshana	83	33.9	99	40.4	63	25.7	245
Oshikoto	44	17.6	117	46.8	89	35.6	250
Otjozondjupa	21	16	45	34.4	65	49.6	131
Zambezi	40	64.5	14	22.6	8	12.9	62
NAMIBIA	676	33.3	676	33.3	675	33.3	2,027

Table 17: Numbers and percentages of ECDs which had their physical facilities scored in the low, middle or high tercile groups compared to whether the ECD had a management board, was registered with the MGECW, its ownership, or whether it had some trained caregivers or not.

	Lov	N	Average	9	Highe	st	
Does the ECD have a Board?	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Total
No	620	37%	584	35%	458	28%	1662
Yes	37	12%	65	22%	195	66%	297
Is the ECD registered with MGECW?							
No	598	38%	553	35%	442	28%	1,593
Yes	61	16%	106	28%	206	55%	373
Who owns the ECD?*							
Community	604	42%	530	37%	312	22%	1,446
Faith-based Organization	14	10%	34	25%	86	64%	134
Private	53	13%	105	26%	252	61%	410
Does the ECD have trained caregivers	s?						
No	231	33%	252	36%	210	30%	693
Yes	445	33%	424	32%	465	35%	1,334

^{*} The numbers of government and NGO-owned ECD were too small to be included in these comparisons

Appendix B. Survey instrument used during the 2012 survey of ECD Centres



1.1

Date Of The Baseline Survey Visit

Ministry of Gender Equality and Child Welfare

1	1
10	
Total Control	S. Carpy

Year

Namibian ECD Centre Baseline Survey 2012

1. Details About The Survey

I am an official of the Ministry of Gender Equality and Child Welfare. I am visiting you today to collect information regarding the services you provide to young children. These details will be used to construct the first ECD database for the MGECW in Namibia. This information will be used for planning and record keeping purposes. All information will be treated with confidentiality. Please feel free to answer all questions as honestly as possible and ask me if there are questions you do not understand. Your time and cooperation are highly valued. **Thank you.**

	2. Details About The C	Centre/Facility		
2.1	Centre/Facility Name		T	
2.2	Date First Opened Under Current Management	Day	Month	Year
2.3	Region (Tick Only One)	Caprivi Erongo Hardap Karas Kavango	☐ Khomas ☐ Kunene ☐ Ohangwena ☐ Omaheke ☐ Omusati	☐ Oshana ☐ Oshikoto ☐ Otjozondjupa
2.4	Constituency			
2.5	City/Town/Village/Farm			
2.6	Phone Number(s)			
2.7	Fax Number			
2.8	Email Address			
2.9	Physical Address			
2.10	Postal Address			
2.11	Ownership Of The Centre/Facility (Tick Only One)	☐ Community ☐ Private ☐ NGO		sed Organization
2.12	Type Of Structure (Tick All That Apply)	Model IECD Cer Traditional Corrugated Iron Church Building School Building Brick	☐ Pre-Fabi ☐ Home-B	ased ree
2.13	Days Of Operation (Tick All That Apply)	☐ Monday ☐ Tuesday ☐ Wednesday ☐ Thursday	☐ Friday ☐ Saturday ☐ Sunday	7
2.14	Centre/Facility Opening Time			
2.15	Centre/Facility Closing Time			
2.16	Approximate Longest Distance Children Travel From Home To The Centre/Facility			
2.17	Are There Any Government Pre-Primary Centres In Your Vicinity	Yes	□ No	

	If Yes, Please Specify		
2.18	Is Your Centre/Facility Registered With The MGECW	Yes	□ No
	If Yes, MGECW Registration Number		
2.19	Is Your Centre/Facility Registered With Any Other Organization	Yes	□ No
	If Yes, With Whom?		
	If Yes, Please Provide Registration Number		
2.20	Does The Centre/Facility Have A Parent Committee	Yes	□ No
	If Yes, Number Of Female Members		
	If Yes, Number Of Male Members		
2.21	Does the Centre/Facility have a Board?	Yes	□ No
	3. Details About	Support	
3.1	Monthly Expected/Required Parent Fees Per Child	N\$	
3.2	Total Fees Received Last Month	N\$	
3.3	Donations Received Last Year (Tick All That Apply)	Not Applicable Land Toys Furniture N\$	☐ Food ☐ Equipment ☐ Stationary ☐ Building or Building Material ☐ Other
3.4	Government Support Received Last Year	☐ Not Applicable ☐ Land ☐ Toys ☐ Furniture	Food Equipment Stationary Building or Building Material Other
3.5	Funds Received Last Year	N\$	
	List Sources		
3.6	Does The Centre/Facility Have a Bank Account	Yes	□ No
	If Yes, Specify Where Your Account Is Held		
	If It Is Someone Else's Account, Please List Owner		

	4. Details About The Director/Manager/Head Of Centre/Facility							
4.1	First Name							
4.2	Surname							
4.3	Age							
4.4	Gender	☐ Fem	ale		Male			
4.5	Is Director/Manager/Head Of Centre/Facility Also A Caregiver/Educarer	☐ Yes			□ No			
4.6	Highest Qualification (Tick Only One)	☐ Less Than Grade 10 ☐ First Degree ☐ Grade 10 ☐ Master's Degree ☐ Grade 12 ☐ Other ☐ 2 Year Diploma						
4.7	Training In ECD (Tick All That Apply)	□ None □ 1 To 2 Years □ Less Than 1 Month □ More Than 2 Years □ MGECW 12 week course □ Currently Enrolled □ Less Than 1 Year						
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify							
	If Trained In ECD, Who Provided The Training							
4.8	Monthly Allowance/Salary	N\$						
4.9	Office Phone Number							
4.10	Mobile Phone Number							
4.11	Fax Number							
4.12	Email Address							
	5. Management And Record Keeping	Yes	No		Comments			
5.1	Planned Daily Programme - Check It							
5.2	Daily Attendance Register - Check It							
5.3	Register Of Enrolled Children - Check It File With Information And Contact Details For Each Child							
		•						
	6. Details About Staff		Femal	es	Males			
6.1	Number Of Caregivers/Educarers							
6.2	Number Of Additional Staff (Gardeners, Cooks, Cleaners, Guards, etc.)							

	7. Details About Careg	iver/Educarer 1	
7.1	Age		
7.2	Gender	Female	Male
7.3	Highest Qualification (Tick All That Apply)	Currently Enrolled Less Than Grade 10 Grade 10 Grade 12	☐ Certificate ☐ Two Year Diploma ☐ First Degree ☐ Master's ☐ Other
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
7.4	Training In ECD (Tick All That Apply)	☐ None ☐ Less Than 1 Month ☐ MGECW 12 week course ☐ Less Than 1 Year	☐ 1 To 2 Years ☐ More Than 2 Years ☐ Currently Enrolled
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
	If Trained In ECD, Who Provided The Training	CCN MGECW NGO-ECD Association Namcol	☐ Montessori ☐ UNAM ☐ NIED - MoE ☐ Other
7.5	Responsible For Age-Groups (Tick All That Apply)	☐ 0 – 23 Months ☐ 2 – 3 Years ☐ 4 – 5 Years	☐ 6 - 7 Years ☐ Older Than 7 Years
7.6	Total Number Of Children In All Of The Caregiver's/Educarer's Groups		
7.7	Monthly Allowance/Salary	N\$	
	8. Details About Careg	iver/Educarer 2	
8.1	Age		
8.2	Gender	Female	Male
8.3	Highest Qualification (Tick All That Apply)	Currently Enrolled Less Than Grade 10 Grade 10 Grade 12	☐ Certificate ☐ Two Year Diploma ☐ First Degree ☐ Master's ☐ Other
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
8.4	Training In ECD (Tick All That Apply)	☐ None ☐ Less Than 1 Month ☐ MGECW 12 week course ☐ Less Than 1 Year	☐ 1 To 2 Years ☐ More Than 2 Years ☐ Currently Enrolled
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
	If Trained In ECD, Who Provided The Training	CCN MGECW NGO-ECD Association Namcol	☐ Montessori ☐ UNAM ☐ NIED - MoE ☐ Other
8.5	Responsible For Age-Groups (Tick All That Apply)	☐ 0 – 23 Months ☐ 2 – 3 Years ☐ 4 – 5 Years	☐ 6 - 7 Years ☐ Older Than 7 Years
8.6	Total Number Of Children In All Of The Caregiver's/Educarer's Groups		
8.7	Monthly Allowance/Salary	N\$	

	9. Details About Carego	iver/Educarer 3	
9.1	Age		
9.2	Gender	Female	Male
9.3	Highest Qualification (Tick All That Apply)	Currently Enrolled Less Than Grade 10 Grade 10 Grade 12	☐ Certificate ☐ Two Year Diploma ☐ First Degree ☐ Master's ☐ Other
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
9.4	Training In ECD (Tick All That Apply)	☐ None ☐ Less Than 1 Month ☐ MGECW 12 week course ☐ Less Than 1 Year	☐ 1 To 2 Years ☐ More Than 2 Years ☐ Currently Enrolled
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
	If Trained In ECD, Who Provided The Training	CCN MGECW NGO-ECD Association Namcol	☐ Montessori ☐ UNAM ☐ NIED - MoE ☐ Other
9.5	Responsible For Age-Groups (Tick All That Apply)	☐ 0 – 23 Months ☐ 2 – 3 Years ☐ 4 – 5 Years	☐ 6 - 7 Years ☐ Older Than 7 Years
9.6	Total Number Of Children In All Of The Caregiver's/Educarer's Groups		
9.7	Monthly Allowance/Salary	N\$	
	10. Details About Careg	iver/Educarer 4	
10.1	Age		
10.2	Gender	Female	Male
10.3	Highest Qualification (Tick All That Apply)	☐ Currently Enrolled ☐ Less Than Grade 10 ☐ Grade 10 ☐ Grade 12	☐ Certificate ☐ Two Year Diploma ☐ First Degree ☐ Master's ☐ Other
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
10.4	Training In ECD (Tick All That Apply)	None □ Less Than 1 Month □ MGECW 12 week course □ Less Than 1 Year	☐ 1 To 2 Years ☐ More Than 2 Years ☐ Currently Enrolled
	If Currently Enrolled, Please Specify		
	If Trained In ECD, Who Provided The Training	CCN MGECW NGO-ECD Association Namcol	☐ Montessori ☐ UNAM ☐ NIED - MoE ☐ Other
10.5	Responsible For Age-Groups (Tick All That Apply)	☐ 0 – 23 Months ☐ 2 – 3 Years ☐ 4 – 5 Years	☐ 6 - 7 Years ☐ Older Than 7 Years
10.6	Total Number Of Children In All Of The Caregiver's/Educarer's Groups		
10.7	Monthly Allowance/Salary	N\$	

	11. Details About All Enrolled Children			Females		Males
11.1	Total Children Currently Enrolled					
11.2	Children Attending Day Of Enumeration					
11.3	Children Age 0 To 23 Months					
11.4	Children Age 2 To 3 Years					
11.5	Children Age 4 To 5 Years					
11.6	Children Age 6 To 7 Years					
11.7	Children Older Than 7 Years					
					1	
11.8	Children Who Dropped Out Last Year					
					•	
11.9	Reasons For Drop Outs			ed d Not Pay Fees] Unknown] Other
	(Tick All That Apply)		Dista			
	12. Children With Impairments			Females		Males
12.1	Children With A Chronic Illnesses					
12.2	Children With A Learning Difficulty/Impairment					
12.3	Children With A Physical Impairment					
12.4	Children With A Visual Impairment					
12.5	Children With A Hearing Impairment					
12.6	Orphaned Children					
	(Have Lost One Or Both Parents)					
	13. Nutriti	ion				
13.1	Are Meals Provided (If No, Please Skip This Section)	□Y	es	1	☐ No	
12.2			arents		☐ FBC	
13.2	Who Provides The Meals (Tick All That Apply)	□ C	entre/Faci	lity	Gov	vernment
13.3	In Thomas A. Witchers Area/Dlane For Food	□ N	GO		Oth	er
13.3	Is There A Kitchen Area/Place For Food Preparation	☐ Y	es	1	☐ No	
13.4	Is The Place For Food Preparation Clean	☐ Y	es		☐ No	
13.5	Type Of Cooking Facilities (Tick All That Apply)		pen Fire as Stove		Elec	etric Stove
13.6	Describe Types Of Meals Provided					
13.7	Meal On The Day Of Enumeration					
13.8	Comments	1				

	14. Health, Safety, And Sanitation	Ye	es 1	No		Con	nments	
14.1	Health Cards And Health Records (Immunizations Copies Are Available And Up To Date)]					
14.2	First Aid Kit (Stocked And Onsite: Gloves, Disinfectant, Bandages)]					
14.3	Dangerous Objects Are Out Of Reach Of Children (For Example Fluids, Medicines)]					
14.4	Adequate Means To Dispose Of Refuse/Trash]					
		•						
	15. Drinking Water	Ye	es 1	No		Cor	nments	
15.1	Is There Drinking Water Available And Accessible To Children (If No, Please Skip This Section)	, _]					
15.2	Is The Drinking Water Clean]					
15.3	Is The Water Sufficient For The Number Of Children]					
							T	
15.4	What Is The Source Of The Drinking Water					ap iver /ell	☐ Boreh ☐ Other	
							•	
	16. Learning Environment					Very Good	Good	Poor
16.1	Children Appear Engaged							
16.2	Children Appear Active							
16.3	Play Materials Are Available Children							
16.4	Play Materials Are Used By The Children							
16.5	Reading Materials In Mother Tongue Are Availab	ole						
16.6	Reading Materials In Mother Tongue Used By Ch	nildren						
16.7	Caregivers/Educarers Appear Warm And Respons	sive To	The C	Childre	en			
16.8	Children Appear To Communicate Freely With Ea	ach Oth	er					
16.9	Children Appear To Communicate Freely With T Educarers	he Care	givers	./				
16.10	Centre Appears To Have A Calm And Ordered A	tmosph	ere					
16.11	Evidence Of Positive Discipline With No Physica	l Punisl	nment					
16.13	Centre/Facility Has Regular Meetings With Paren (Once A Term At Least)	ts/Guar	dians					
16.14	Comments							
	17. Physical Facilities	Yes	No	N.	/A	C	omments	
17.1	Safety Of Outdoor Space (Free From Dangerous Objects And Unsafe Areas)]			

17.2	Outdoor Area Fenced							
17.3	Sufficient Shade Available Outside							
17.4	Play Equipment In Good Condition (Swings, Slides, Chairs, And Tables)							
17.5	Play Equipment In Safe (Swings, Slides, Chairs, And Tables)							
17.6	Toilet Facilities Are Clean							
17.7	Toilet Facilities Are Functioning							
17.8	Hand Washing Facility With Soap							
17.9	Centre/Facility Is Accessible To Persons With Disabilities							
17.10	Sufficient Toys And Materials For The Number Of Children							
17.11	Sufficient Toilet Facilities 1 Toilet Per 25 Children							
	Number Of Flush Toilets Number Of Pit Latrines Number Of Open Latrines							
17.12	Adequate Indoor Space (Enough Space, Well Ventilated And Sufficient Light)							
	Total Number Of Rooms Number Of Rooms Used For Children							
17.13	Is There A Separate Room For An Office For The Staff							
	18. Information On Other Centres/Facilities							
18.1	Are There Any Other Centres/Facilities In Your	☐ Yes ☐ No						
18.2	If Yes, List Names And Contact Details							

19. Details About The Enumerator						
19.1	First Name					
19.2	Surname					
19.3	Organization					
19.4	Position					
19.5	Phone Number					
19.6	Email					

	20. Signature	es		
20.1	Signature Of Centre/Facility Manage Or Staff			
	Date Of Signature	Day	Month	Year
20.2	Signature Of Enumerator			
	Date Of Signature	Day	Month	Year
			•	•
20.3	Signature Of Quality Assurance Officer			
	Date Of Signature	Day	Month	Year
20.4	Signature Of Data Typist			
	Date Of Signature	Day	Month	Year

END OF REPORT